Beauty is in the Eye of the Beholder



"Tasting" Models of Star Formation

Featuring the work of collaborators: Alyssa A. Goodman Héctoar Arges Mitchel Raff Contact Raffer Rapids Score Arges Mitchel Raff Contact Raffer Rapids and the strend of t

Image Credit: Jonathan Foster, CfA/COMPLETE Deep Megacam Image of West End of Perseus

How long does it take to form a star?

Depends on mass flux onto a forming star/core system, and where it comes from...









Chemical & Phase Transformations

Radiation

Thermal Pressure

"Turbulence" (Random Kinetic Energy) Outflows & Winds

Image Credit: Jonathan Foster, CfA/COMPLETE Deep Megacam Image of West End of Perseus

What forces matter most on what scales?



Warning to Theorists: This is a schematic, philosophical diagram, not data...or even necessarily true, yet.



Changes of Heart, rather than in Physics...



Attention



Taste Tests

"Taste Tests"? We frame this project by analogy. How does a great chef, making a complicated dish, know if she has created what she originally intended when she is done cooking? She "tastes." She informs her cooking with her extensive knowledge of food chemistry (analytic theory), uses all the cooking equipment (simulations) she has in the kitchen to try to make something edible and tasty (starforming, and realistic), and then she uses her senses (observations) to see if what she made tastes as intended. "Tasting" in cooking actually encompasses the joint action of many senses: we propose here a combination of statistical techniques that we call "taste tests." The tests will allow us to discerningly decide if what we sense (observe) and what we can cook (simulate) might actually be tasty (form stars), and how (analytic theory) that happens.



from: Goodman & Rosolowsky, NSF Proposal Fall 2006; Rahul Shetty is now "Taste-Testing" postdoc at Harvard

A Dark Secret of Observer's Kitchens: VYSI(N)VYG What you see is NOT what you get



Magnetic Fields

Gravity

Chemical & Phase Transformations

Radiation

"Turbulence" (Random Kinetic Energy) Thermal Pressure

Outflows & Winds

Image Credit: Jonathan Foster, CfA/COMPLETE Deep Megacam Image of West End of Perseus

Miller & Pudritt 2001

Theorists' Kitchens now cooking many Simulations sophisticated enough to "taste"...

1.0 x 107 cm-3

5.2 x 10⁵ cm⁻³

1.8 x 10⁵ cm⁻³

6.3 x 10⁴ cm⁻³

3.2 x 10⁴ cm⁻³

Note: This is just one of several examples please consult your local theorists & visitors!

cm⁻³

cm-3

cm-3

cm⁻³

3.2 x 10⁵ cm⁻³

Tilley & Pudritz 2007; see Padoan, P., Goodman, A., Draine, B., Juvela, M., Nordlund, A. and Rognvaldsson, O.E. 2001 for polarimetry "tastes"

Star Formation Taste Tests



What theorists are used to...



"Three-dimensional visualization of density structure in a turbulent cloud" Courtesy Eve Ostriker, Jim Stone & Charles Gammie

What theorists are used to...



Competitive Accretion Model for Star Formation

Bate, Bonnell & Bromm, 2002

...but, alas, we observers cannot live in that space.

What <u>can</u> we (observers) offer for tasting?



C P E E COordinated Molecular Probe Line Extinction Thermal Emission Survey of Star-Forming Regions



COMPLETE Collaborators, Summer 2008: Alyssa A. Goodman (CfA/IIC) João Alves (Calar Alto, Spain) Héctor Arce (Yale) Michelle Borkin (IIC) Paola Caselli (Leeds, UK) James DiFrancesco (HIA, Canada) Jonathan Foster (CfA, PhD Student) Katherine Guenthner (CfA/Leipzig) Mark Heyer (UMASS/FCRAO) Doug Johnstone (HIA, Canada) Jens Kauffmann (CfA/IIC) Helen Kirk (HIA, Canada) Di Li (JPL)

Jaime Pineda (CfA, PhD Student) Erik Rosolowsky (UBC Okanagan) Rahul Shetty (CfA) Scott Schnee (Caltech) Mario Tafalla (OAN, Spain)

COMPLETE Perseus

/iew size: 1305 × 733 /L: 63 WW: 127

mm peak (Enoch et al. 2006)

 \bigcap

sub-mm peak (Hatchell et al. 2005, Kirk et al. 2006)

¹³CO (Ridge et al. 2006)

mid-IR IRAC composite from c2d data (Foster, Laakso, Ridge, et al. in prep.)

Optical image (Barnard 1927)

1/249 pm: 227% Angle 0

Radio Spectral-line Observations of Interstellar Clouds



Radio Spectral-line Observations of Interstellar Clouds

Alves, Lada & Lada 1999

Velocity as a "Fourth" Dimension

COMPLETE Perseus

/iew size: 1305 × 733 /L: 63 WW: 127

mm peak (Enoch et al. 2006)

 \bigcap

sub-mm peak (Hatchell et al. 2005, Kirk et al. 2006)

¹³CO (Ridge et al. 2006)

mid-IR IRAC composite from c2d data (Foster, Laakso, Ridge, et al. in prep.)

Optical image (Barnard 1927)

1/249 pm: 227% Angle 0

3D Viz made with VolView

For Taste Testing, we can use Synthetic Spectral Line Maps from Simulations

Figure based on work of Padoan, Nordlund, Juvela, et al. Excerpt from realization used in Padoan & Goodman 2002.

The Taste-Testing Process

Nature

Observed Data

Appetizer #1 : The "Spectral Correlation Function"

SCF tastes included...

- Projection to 2D sky plane, or
 "3D" of spectral-line data cubes
- Radiative Transfer for a variety of chemical "tracers"
- Adding appropriate noise
- Imposing observing characteristics of a telescope

Eigure beend on work of

Figure: Padoan, Goodman & Juvela 2003; original SCF: Rosolowsky et al. 1999.

Note: SCF is One of Many...

Inspired by the "Theory Cube"

- Power Spectra (of density, velocity)
- pdfs
- Autocorrelation Functions
- Δ -Variance
- Structure Functions

Data-Oriented

- Wavelet Analysis
- Spectral Correlation Function
- Structure Trees
- Velocity Centroid Analysis
 VCA (see also VCS)
- Principal Component Analysis

Appetizer #2: "Taste Test" of Competitive Accretion

By comparing decaying SPH hydrodynamic simulations to *Walsh et al.* 2004 results for NGC 1333, *Ayliffe et al.* (2007) show that motions indicative of competitive accretion may not be obvious in tracer-to-tracer velocity offsets,

Figure 3. As in Fig. 2, except that the simulation has been smoothed to mimic the resolution of the observational taken by Walsh et al. (we assumed a FWHM resolution of 50 arcsec at 140 pc). Both the column-density contours (left-hand panel) and velocity spectra (right-hand panel) are smoothed slightly from those in Fig. 2.

What's for Dinner?

Entree I: Column Density "Lognormals?"

Turbulence theory & simulations generally predict that

Column density "tastes" log-normal(ish) on 10's of pc scales

Example: log-normal column density distribution

(Ostriker, Stone & Gammie 2001)

figure from Goodman, Pineda & Schnee 2008; see also Pineda et al. 2008

The (secret) uncertainties inherent in column density mapping.

Goodman, Pineda & Schnee 2008

Column Density in Perseus, Measured 3 Ways

Goodman, Pineda & Schnee 2008

What Causes the Variations?

Goodman, Pineda & Schnee 2008

What Causes the Variations?

Errors introduced by the assumption of isothermal dust along each line of sight

Variable fraction of emission from transiently heated very small dust grains

Variable dust properties (e.g. emissivity or emissivity spectral index)

Schnee, Bethell & Goodman 2006

Total Dust Column (0 to 15 mag A_V) (Based on 60/100 microns) Dust Temperature (25 to 45 K) (Based on 60/100 microns)

Imagine you look from the "side"...

Recovering Temperature from "Color" of Dust Emission

MHD Simulation + Radiative Transfer + NO NOISE gives...



Schnee, Bethell & Goodman 2006

Tasting Line of Sight Temperature Fluctuations simulation + radiative transfer + realistic NOISE



~all scatter is introduced by the assumption of isothermal dust along each line of sight

Schnee, Bethell & Goodman 2006



Shetty et al. 2008; Schnee et al. 2007



Back to the Main Dish...

Tasty Side: "Hot Sauce"



Entree I: Column Density "Lognormals?"



Does each ball taste different?

Regional Variations within Perseus





Goodman, Pineda & Schnee 2008; Pineda et al. 2008



Goodman, Pineda & Schnee 2008

Brand New Results from 512³ "ATHENA" Simulations

(Lemaster & Stone 2008)



Similar level of variation seen...big enough that magnetized case **not** distinguishable from unmagnetized, using PDFs alone

driven
strong-field
sub-region
sub-region

Side dish for those with a fine palette...



Cloudshine: (Problem for JWST) Opportunity for Fine Dining...

Background: Jonathan Foster, CfA/COMPLETE Deep Megacam Image of West End of Perseus Insets: Foster & Goodman 2006, Calar Alto JHK

"Cloudshine"=Scattered Ambient Starlight

L106

FIG. 1.—L1448 in false color. Component images have been weighted according to their flux in units of MJy sr⁻¹. J is blue, H is green, and K_s is red. Outflows from young stars glow red, while a small fan-shaped reflection nebula in the upper right is blue-green. Cloudshine, in contrast, is shown here as a muted glow with green edges. Dark features around extended bright objects (such as the reflection nebula) are the result of self-sky subtraction.

FOSTER & GOODMAN 2006



FIG. 2.—L1451 in false color. Again, each component image has been scaled to the same flux scale in units of MJy sr⁻¹; and J is blue, H is green, and K_s is red. A smaller map of 1.2 mm dust emission contours from COMPLETE (M. Tafalla 2006, in preparation) has been overlaid, showing that the color of cloudshine is a tracer of density. Redder regions have high dust continuum flux, and the edges of cloudshine match the edges of the dust emission. Dark edges around bright features (particularly noticeable along the northern edges) are the result of self-sky subtraction.

Vol. 636

"Tasting" a Very Simple Recipe



Data Used in Constructing Core Profile

Data Radial Profile

Model Radial Profile

FIG. 3.—Model of cloudshine in one core as reflected interstellar radiation. The lower left panel shows the roughly circular feature we chose to model as a sphere. Due to the surrounding structure, only the left half of the circle was used to derive an angle-averaged radial profile. The comparison between this radial profile and our best-fit model (an r^{-2} density profile and a total optical depth of 120 mag of visual extinction) is shown in two ways: above as radial flux profiles in individual bands and in the lower right as a synthetic color-composite image that allows for an overall comparison. Although the fit is good, the central region of the core is darker than predicted by the model. Some of this may be due to self-sky subtraction in the image (which causes dark edges around bright features) and a nonspherical, nonisotropically illuminated core, and some may be due to a failure to adequately model the density structure at the center of the core.

Theorists doing the Tasting!



Tastes "right", with 20% scatter, at $I < A_v < 10$, for NIR.

Padoan et al. 2006

Cloudshine gives us a path to (much) higherresolution column density maps

Background: Jonathan Foster, CfA/COMPLETE Deep Megacam Image of West End of Perseus Insets: Foster & Goodman 2006, Calar Alto JHK

Let's finish Dinner...



Entree 2: Dendrograms & Gravity







Tasting L1448 (The Role of Gravity)



The Taste-Testing Process



Nature

Observed Data

Value of Dendrograms



Yellow highlighting= "self-gravitating"

"Self-gravitating" here just means $\alpha_{vir} (= 5\sigma_v^2 R/GM_{lum}) < 2$ (à la Bertoldi & McKee 1992)

> Rosolowsky et al. 2008 (ApJ); Goodman et al. 2008 (Nature, submitted)

Dendrograms



I-D: points; 2-D closed curves (contours); 3-D surfaces enclosing volumes see demo at http://aerial.client.fas.harvard.edu/~nessus/dendrostar/

Value of Dendrograms



Yellow highlighting= "self-gravitating"

"Self-gravitating" here just means $\alpha_{vir} (= 5\sigma_v^2 R/GM_{lum}) < 2$ (à la Bertoldi & McKee 1992)

> Rosolowsky et al. 2008 (ApJ); Goodman et al. 2008 (Nature, submitted)

CLUMPFIND vs. Dendrograms: L1448



CLUMPFIND vs. Dendrograms: Synthetic Data



⁽Purple = CLUMPFIND regions associated with self-gravitating leaves)

Taste-Testing Gravity



Star Formation Taste Tests > All Messages

Star Formation Taste Tests > All Messages

Back to Dashboard

Switch to a different project

Star Formation Taste Tests CfA

Overview

Messages

To-Do

Milestones

Writeboards

Chat

Time

Files

Expanded view

List view

THURSDAY, 19 JUNE 2008

Column Density Paper

A paper entitled: "The "True" Column Density Distribution in Star-Forming Molecular Clouds", by Goodman, Pineda & Schnee, is now available, on astro-ph, at http://adsabs.harvard.edu/cgi-bin/nph-data_query? bibcode=2008arXiv0806.3441G&db_key=PRE&link_type=ABSTRACT&high=485efe37dd27343. Here's a copy.

goodman_pineda_schnee08.pdf (PDF, 927K)

Posted by Rahul Shetty in Publications | Edit | Post the first comment

THURSDAY, 10 APRIL 2008

Frank Shu's "Test of the Test" Idea: Are Dendrogram Identified Cores really Self-Gravitating?

We have been investigating the use of Dendrograms (<u>http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.2944</u>) to identify self-gravitating regions in molecular clouds. As a test, Frank Shu has suggested that we apply this method to simulation cubes of molecular clouds. We can perform a dendrogram analysis on simulation cubes at early times, before the clumps have completely collapsed. We will then verify whether the dendrogram identified self-gravitating clumps do indeed collapse by inspecting the simulation cubes at later times. In order to carry out this test, we are requesting simulation data cubes of star forming clouds (where the calculation of self-gravity is included); we would certainly appreciate a wide variety of simulations for a thorough test of the dendrogram analysis. Please let us know if you are able to contribute your simulation data cubes for this test. We are also happy to collaborate if you'd like to go through this kind of analysis with us together.

Posted by Rahul Shetty in Collaboration Projects | Edit | Post the first comment

TUESDAY, 1 APRIL 2008

Cosmic Dust & Radiative Transfer a workshop devoted to radiative transfer coding



A tasty challenge from Frank Shu...

Either Algorithm is an Example of Tasting in Observational-Space



work of Rosolowsky, Pineda, Kauffmann, Borkin, Padoan, Halle & Goodman; figure from Goodman & Rosolowsky NSF "Star Formation Taste Tests" Proposal, Fall 2006

Which "stars" "form" from what gas, when?



J,H,K Near-IR image of Cloudshine





850 micron and 1.1 mm clumps on a c2d IRAC 3-color image N₂H⁺ on ¹³CO integrated intensity



Deep NIR Extinction on 2MASS Extinction



What stars form from what gas, when?

S. Schmeja and R. S. Klessen: Evolving structures of star-forming clusters

Theorists using Observers Ingredients

e.g. Schmeja & Klessen 2006



What stars form from what gas, when?

Radial Velocity Study of Orion (Furesz et al. 2008)



RV [km/s]

RA [J2000]

What really matters where...and when?



Challenge to Theorists (and Observers): Can we make a better version of this with "Taste-Testing"?

http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/~agoodman/tastetests/



"Tasting" Models of Star Formation

Featuring the work of collaborators: Alyssa A. Goodman Héctoar Arges Mitchel Raff Contact Raffer Rapids Score Arges Mitchel Raff Contact Raffer Rapids and the strend of t

Image Credit: Jonathan Foster, CfA/COMPLETE Deep Megacam Image of West End of Perseus

Optional

State of Affairs, Now

- Thermal support: thermal emission and gas excitation measures of dust temperature confirm low temperatures, but show significant structure (e.g. Scott Schnee's work)
- **<u>B-fields</u>**: most geometrically relevant at low densities (fluff) and at very high densities (star+disk), less-so in-between (TAURUS example)
- **Turbulence**: apparently dominant (morphologically) at ~all scales bigger than cores...but it must have an energy source. (AGREED.)
- <u>Radiation</u>: You don't need H II regions for radiation field to be critical to chemistry, heating/cooling, etc. Asymmetry may be critical. (See CLOUDSHINE....see also recent work by Pineda et al. on chemical abundances.)
- Outflows/Winds: Oops! What about stars that are not newborn or dying...what are all those spherical winds? We think they are 10x more important than bi-polar flows. (See COMPLETE/3D analysis by Arce, Borkin, et al.)
- **Gravity:** Can and often does matter at *all* scales--but not everywhere! Obviously critical at smallest scales, for collapse. (Taste-Testing with DENDROGRAMS)

Are you hungry yet?



THURSDAY 7 ILINE

Mordecai-Mark Mac Low

Let's not let food go to waste, even if it is full of artificial ingredients...

The HDF Group

The Astrophysics Simulation Collaboratory: A Science Portal Enabling Community Software Development

Jason Novotnv[‡]

Greg Daues[§]

Michael Russell[†] Gabrielle Allen* Edward Seidel^{*}

John Shalf^{‡§}

Ian Foster^{†¶}

http://ascl.net/

Wai-Mo Suen

Tom Goodale*

Abstract We describe the design and implementation of the Astrophysics Simulation Collaboratory Web Portal.

Gregor von Laszewski[¶]

April 4, 2001

Data formats, software, middleware, and infrastructure matter.

Let's not let food go to waste, even if it is full of artificial ingredients...

) () 	Computational Astrophysics Data Analysis Center	^ Q→ cadac padoan	0
	CADAC		help
	home about members documentation		

The Computational Astrophysics Data Analysis Center

The Computational Astrophysics Data Analysis Center (CADAC) collects and stores results of large astrophysical simulations and provides data analysis resources to researchers worldwide. Because only a fraction of computational resources is typically available for data-analysis, early publication and sharing of large computational datasets are not commonplace in astrophysics.

The CADAC is a worldwide service that provides powerful data-storage and data-analysis resources to the astrophysical community, encouraging the early publication of complete numerical datasets. The CADAC will foster a new system and culture whereby data-analysis tools and computational data are shared. Its use will encourage scientific collaboration, increase the impact of numerical experiments, and facilitate the review process of journal papers based on computational simulations.

More Information

- Read more <u>about</u> the CADAC.
- Find out <u>who</u> is a member.
- Get some <u>help</u> joining.
- Visit the <u>wiki pages</u> for the <u>KITP</u> workshop <u>Star Formation Through Cosmic Time</u>.
- Read the CADAC <u>announcement</u>.

Laboratory for Computational Astrophysics

Official web page of the University of California, San Diego.

A Challenge for the Next Round of Cooking
Perseus Outflows



Powerful(!) Shells in Perseus



Borkin, Arce & Goodman 2008

Spitzer (MIPS) View

c2d MIPS (24µm) maps of Perseus Rebull et al. 2007







Preliminary Numbers say Shells are Much MORE Important than Outflows

	$_{\rm (M_{\odot})}^{\rm Mass}$	$\begin{array}{l} {\rm Momentum} \\ {\rm (M_{\odot} \ km \ s^{-1})} \end{array}$	Kinetic Energy (10^{42} ergs)
Perseus (Global)	11,050		
All Shells	608	908.24	31,713.43
All Outflows	34.33	79.83	$2,\!373.32$
Outflows (New)	17.58	33.44	708.97
Outflows (Known)	14.99	42.24	1,535.98
Outflows (New Extensions)	1.76	4.15	128.37

 Table 2.
 Perseus Cloud Properties

Note. — Thus outflows comprise 0.31% of the total mass in Perseus, shells comprise 5.5% of the total mass in Perseus, and shells are injecting ~ 11 times the momentum and ~ 13 times the energy that outflows are injecting into the cloud.

Borkin, Arce, & Goodman 2008 in prep